

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS**

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

DIVISION EIGHT

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

PEDRO FELIPE CAFAGNA,

Defendant and Appellant.

B170745

(Los Angeles County  
Super. Ct. No. GA051463)

APPEAL from a judgment of the Superior Court of Los Angeles County.  
Candace J. Beason, Judge. Affirmed.

California Appellate Project, Jonathan Steiner, Executive Director, under  
appointment by the Court of Appeal, for Defendant and Appellant.

No appearance for Plaintiff and Respondent.

Appellant Pedro Filipe Cafagna appeals from a judgment of conviction for driving under the influence of alcohol or a drug (Veh. Code § 23152, subdivision (a)) and driving with a suspended license (Veh. Code § 14601.2, subdivision (a)). The trial court also found several prior convictions to be true including an enhancement pursuant to Penal Code section 667.5, subdivision (b) for which Cafagna was sentenced to one year. The court imposed a two year sentence for the violation of Vehicle Code section 23152 and a concurrent one year sentence for the violation of Vehicle Code section 14601.2.

We appointed counsel to represent Cafagna. On July 13, 2004, counsel filed an Opening Brief which contained an acknowledgment that she had been unable to find any arguable issues and which requested that we review the entire record pursuant to *People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436. Counsel included a declaration indicating that she informed Cafagna of his right to file a supplemental brief and of her intention to file a petition for writ of habeas corpus. By separate order, this court has denied Cafagna's petition for writ of habeas corpus. Cafagna did not file a supplemental brief.

We have examined the entire record and are satisfied that Cafagna's counsel has fully complied with her responsibilities and that no arguable issues exist. (*People v. Wende, supra*, 25 Cal.3d 436, 441.)

The judgment is affirmed.

**NOT TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE OFFICIAL REPORTS**

COOPER, P.J.

We concur:

BOLAND, J.

FLIER, J.